

# United States LATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/08/2003

| APPLICATION NO.                   | FILI | NG DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.       | CONFIRMATION NO |
|-----------------------------------|------|------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|
| 09/560,260                        | 04/  | 26/2000    | James J. Hofmann     | 100718-421(MIC-79)        | 2379            |
| 75                                | 90   | 09/08/2003 | ·                    |                           |                 |
| Richard A Goldenberg              |      |            |                      | ÈXAMINER                  |                 |
| Hale and Dorr LLP 60 State Street |      |            |                      | CHOWDHURY, TARIFUR RASHID |                 |
| Boston, MA 02109                  |      |            |                      | ART UNIT                  | PAPER NUMBER    |
|                                   |      |            |                      | 2871                      |                 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  |  | W  |
|--|--|--|
|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |
| Office Action Summans  | 09/560,260   | HOFMANN ET AL.   |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner   | Art Unit   |
|  | Tarifur R Chowdhury  | 2871   |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply  | ears on the cover sheet with the o   | correspondence address   |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply lif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute,  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir<br>within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day<br>vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from<br>cause the application to become ABANDONE | mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).   |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 J  | <u>une 2003</u> .  |  |
| 2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ Thi  | is action is non-final.  |  |
| <ol> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowa<br/>closed in accordance with the practice under b<br/>Disposition of Claims</li> </ol>   |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-77 is/are pending in the application.  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-68 and 75-77</u> is/are   | withdrawn from consideration.  | -  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>69 and 71-74</u> is/are rejected.  |  |  |
| 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>70</u> is/are objected to.   |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  | election requirement.  |  |
| Application Papers   |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner  |  |  |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accep   | •  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the  | - · ·  | , ,  |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on   |  | oved by the Examiner.  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep  | •  |  |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa  | aminer.  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  |  |  |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a   | a)-(d) or (f).   |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of:   |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents  | • •  | <del></del>  |
| <ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the prior application.</li> </ul>  | reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   | -  |
| 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic   | c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(  | e) (to a provisional application).   |
| a) The translation of the foreign language pro-  | visional application has been rec  | ceived.  |
| Attachment(s)  |  | , and the first of |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  | 5) Notice of Informal  | y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)   |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 09/560,260 Page 2

Art Unit: 2871

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 69 and 71-74 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over by Poco et al., (Poco), USPAT 6,168,737.
- 4. Poco discloses and shows in Figs. 2A-2D, a method of forming spacers in a display comprising:
- forming positive mold (10) by depositing a layer on a support and patterning the layer of photoresist to define an array of posts (col. 2, lines 61);
  - forming a resilient negative mold by depositing a material over the positive

<u>:</u>

Application/Control Number: 09/560,260

Art Unit: 2871

mold (col. 3, lines 3-5), the material conforming to the array of posts, allowing the material to cure, and separating the cured material from the positive mold, the negative mold defining an array of apertures, each of the apertures corresponding to one of the posts (col. 3, lines 19-21);

- placing a negative mold in contact with a substrate (col. 3, lines 16-18);
- filling the apertures with a spacer material (col. 3, lines 19-21);
- allowing the spacer material to cure; and
- separating the negative mold and the substrate.

Poco does not explicitly disclose that the positive mold is formed by depositing a layer of photoresist. However, using a photoresist layer to form a positive mold is common and known in the art and thus would have been obvious to avail a proven material.

Accordingly, claim 69 would have been obvious.

As to claims 71 and 72, using metallic alignment sheets having a plurality of apertures to align the mold with the substrate is common and known in the art and thus would have been obvious to improve alignment of the mold with respect to the substrate.

As to claim 73, Poco discloses that filling the apertures with spacer material comprises injecting the spacer material into the negative mold (col. 3, lines 15-16).

As to claim 74, Poco discloses that the negative mold comprises a plurality of vacuum pump out channels.

Application/Control Number: 09/560,260

Art Unit: 2871

## Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claim 70 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 06/16/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's request to cite a reference that supports the proposition (i.e., that: using a photoresist layer to form mold is common and known in the art"), applicant's attention is respectfully requested to USPAT 5,930,890 (col. 1, lines 47-55) which clearly discloses that the use of photoresist layer to form mold is common and known in the art since photoresist technology is relatively mature and relatively inexpensive. Therefore, the rejection was proper and thus not withdrawn.

#### Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tarifur R Chowdhury whose telephone number is (703) 308-4115. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (6:30-5:00) Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert H. Kim can be reached on (703) 305-3492. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

1782.

T. Chowdhury

Primary Examiner

Technology Center 2800

TRC

August 27, 2003